

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/015,868	12/12/2001	Michael D. Hooven	HOOV 117	7290
26568 7	590 09/08/2004		EXAMINER	
COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER LTD SUITE 2850 200 WEST ADAMS STREET CHICAGO, IL 60606			ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004	4

D.112 1.222. 07,007200

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/015,868	HOOVEN, MICHAEL D.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rosiland S Rollins	3739
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		·
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 At 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the condition of the condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the condition of th	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro-	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-10,12-14,17-20,22-24 and 27-</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3, 4, 6-10, 12-14, 17-20, 22-24, 27-</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. <u>49</u> is/are rejected.	on.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the example Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	·

Application/Control Number: 10/015,868

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-10, 12, 14, 17-20, 22, 24, 27-37, 39-44, 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nezhat (US 6162220). Nezhat '220 disclose a device for clamping and ablating cardiac tissue comprising first and second handle members, first and second jaw members (figure 2E), first and second electrically conductive members (254, 258) having a width less than 1/3 the width of their associated mating surfaces and insulators.

Claims 47-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baker (US 6113598). Baker discloses a tissue grasping apparatus comprising first and second grasping jaws, each jaw having an elongated electrically conductive member and at least one of the electrically conductive members define an interior lumen (figures 11-14c).

Application/Control Number: 10/015,868

Art Unit: 3739

Claims 1, 13, 4, 23, 29, 31, 38 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamauchi et al. (US 6273887). Yamauchi et al. disclose a tissue grasping apparatus that includes a wire as the conductive member as illustrated in Figures 74a & b.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 20, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the clamping surface of Yamauchi is comprised entirely of the electrically conductive projections and that no other portion of the jaw including the insulating layer is a part of the clamping surface. To support this argument applicant refers to figures 74a and 74b. Examiner maintains that the entire surface of the Yamauchi jaw contributes to clamping tissue there between especially in the case where the tissue being treated is relatively thick and would inherently touch the insulating portions of the jaw structure once the conductive projections were applied to the tissue.

Applicant argues that the MPEP does not describe an instance of double patenting where the grounds for rejection are based on an issued patent filed by the same inventive entity and another patent not owned by the same inventive entity.

Applicant's attention is directed to MPEP section 804 II. B. 1. where obviousness-type double patenting is discussed.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the

Application/Control Number: 10/015,868

Art Unit: 3739

unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 7 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6517536 in view of Nezhat. The patent 6517536 teach all of the limitations of the application claims except the conductive members having a width that is equal to or less than 1/3 of the width of the mating surface. Nezhat discloses a similar device that includes a conductive member having a width that is less than 1/3 of the width of the mating surface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a conductive surface 1/3 the width of the mating surface on the patented device as taught by Nezhat since it has been established by Nezhat as a suitable dimension for treating heart tissue.

Response to Arguments

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S Rollins whose telephone number is 703/3082711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3739

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 703/3080994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/3080858.

Roseland Follows
Rosiland S Rollins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

RKR